Overview of patient's utilization of primary care, and models for management

Nehal Saad Althagafi, Ohood Saeed Alghamdi, Mona Saeed Alzahrani

Abstract:

The goal of this focused review paper is to present the research findings concerning the efficacy of primary care so that the worth of primary care can be much better valued. Particularly, it will show the significance of effective primary care services in providing quality healthcare, enhancing health outcomes, and decreasing disparities. A search of literature through databases; MIDLINE, and EMBASE was conducted to identified related articles to our concerned topic (patient's utilization of primary care) that were published up to November 2017. In both developed and developing countries, primary care has been demonstrated to be associated with improved accessibility to healthcare solutions, better health outcomes, and a decrease in hospitalization and use of emergency department visits. Primary care can likewise aid counteract the negative effect of poor economic conditions on health. Primary care is imperative for building a strong healthcare system that ensures favorable health results, efficiency and effectiveness, and health equity. There are many aspects determining quality of care, such as ease of access (including availability of after-hours care, length of office wait time, travel time to an appointment, and flexibility in choosing a PCP), clinical quality, interpersonal elements, continuity, structure where primary care is provided, and insurance coverage. It is the first contact in a healthcare system for people and is characterized by longitudinality,

IJSER

comprehensiveness, and coordination. It provides specific and family-focused and community-oriented care for preventing, treating or alleviating common diseases and disabilities, and promoting health. Further research study is also needed to evaluate exactly what models of primary care can produce the best health outcomes.

Introduction:

Primary care works as the cornerstone for building a strong healthcare system that ensures favorable health results and health equity [1], [2].In the past century, there has been a shift in healthcare from focusing on disease-oriented etiologies to analyzing the interacting influences of aspects rooted in society, race/ethnicity, policy, and setting. Such a transition required person/family-focused and community-oriented primary care services to be offered in a continual and coordinated manner in order to meet the health needs of the population. In 2001, the World Health Organization (WHO) suggested an international goal of achieving global primary care in the six domain names established by the 1978 Alma-Ata Declaration: first contact, longitudinality, comprehensiveness, coordination, individual or family-centeredness, and community orientation. These six attributes, agreed upon worldwide, have verified effective in determining breadth of primary care services and monitoring primary care top quality [3], [4].

The major driving force behind the raising variety of clinical experts is the growth of medical modern technology. The quick developments in medical technology constantly expanded the diagnostic and therapeutic alternatives at the disposal of physician specialists. Most of patients, substantially devoid of financial restraints thanks to third-party insurance coverage repayment, have turned to medical professionals who could give them with the most up-to-date, innovative therapy. Hence, the fast advance of medical modern technology adds to the demand for specialty solutions and gives an impetus for more specialty advancement.

IJSER

Specialist doctors delight in other advantages too. Not just do professionals gain significantly higher revenues compared to primary care doctors, yet additionally they are more probable to have foreseeable work hours and appreciate greater eminence both amongst their coworkers and from the public at large [5].Issues typically mentioned in recruiting primary care doctors consist of longer functioning hrs throughout the day as well as standing by, less financial benefit for solution, and less accessibility to the highly technological methods to medical diagnosis which is an integral part of the medical center technique to patient care [6].

The goal of this focused review paper is to present the research findings concerning the efficacy of primary care so that the worth of primary care can be much better valued. Particularly, it will show the significance of effective primary care services in providing quality healthcare, enhancing health outcomes, and decreasing disparities.

IJSER

Methodology:

A search of literature through databases; MIDLINE, and EMBASE was conducted to identified related articles to our concerned topic (patient's utilization of primary care) that were published up to November 2017, Following Mesh terms were used in our search through the MIDLINE; "patient's utilization of primary care", "management". We limited our search to English language published articles with human subject.

Discussion:

- **Primary Care Definitions**

The terms "medical care" and "primary healthcare" explain 2 various concepts. The former, medical care, describes family medicine services typically offered by physicians to specific patients and is person-oriented, longitudinal care [7].Primary medical care, on the other hand, is a broader idea intended to explain both individual-level care and population-focused tasks that incorporate public health aspects. Additionally, primary healthcare might consist of more comprehensive societal plans such as universal access to healthcare, an emphasis on wellness equity, and partnership within and past the clinical field [7].

Primary care plays a central role in a medical care delivery system. Other crucial degrees of care include second and tertiary care, which include different roles within the health and wellness range. As compared to primary care, additional and tertiary care services are more complicated and specialized, and the sorts of care are more distinguished according to period, frequency, and level of strength. Secondary care is generally temporary, involving occasional consultation from a professional to provide professional point of view and/or medical or various other sophisticated interventions that primary care physicians (PCPs) are not equipped to do. Additional care hence consists of hospitalization, routine surgery, specialized consultation, and rehab. Tertiary care is the most complicated degree of care, required for conditions that are fairly unusual. Typically, tertiary care is institution-based, very specialized, and technology-driven. Much of tertiary care is provided in huge teaching hospitals, specifically university-affiliated teaching hospitals. Instances consist of injury care, burn therapy, neonatal intensive care, tissue transplants, and open heart surgery. In some circumstances, tertiary therapy could be extended, and the tertiary care

IJSER

physician could presume long-lasting obligation for the bulk of the patient's care. It has been approximated that 75% to 85% of people in a basic populace require only primary care services in a provided year; 10% to 12% require recommendations to temporary secondary care solutions; 5% to 10% use tertiary care professionals [8].

- **Primary Care Measurement**

Measurement makes it possible for evaluation of the performance of a healthcare distribution system and individual suppliers. Additionally, dimension helps with efforts to enhance accountability, quality, suitable use of resources, and patient results and to reduce the risk of unfavorable events [9].Measurement is likewise increasingly connected to healthcare funding via pay-for-performance programs. As the USA tries to emphasize primary care functions through elements of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act [10], dimension of primary care will certainly tackle also better importance. Shi notes that assessments of the top quality of health care patients get must consider the four measurements of medical care: the initial contact experience, longitudinality, sychronisation, and comprehensiveness [11].

Researchers can use numerous kinds of indicators depending upon the goal of dimension [9].Indicators could provide some sense of the framework, procedure, or outcome of care, can be made use of to determine activity, performance, and quality, and can aid establish whether the care is being offered inning accordance with standards defined by an expert body or consensus [9].

The Primary Care Assessment Tool (PCAT) is a collection of questionnaires, created by Johns Hopkins Primary Care Policy Center under the leadership of the late Dr. Barbara Starfield, that

IJSER

analyze whether a doctor or system is attaining the four core functions of primary care (very first get in touch with, longitudinality, comprehensiveness, and coordination) and three supplementary facets of primary care (family centeredness, neighborhood alignment, and cultural skills). The initial PCAT-adult survey was established and validated in the USA [12] however its validity and reliability have been demonstrated in other nations, such as in Brazil [13] and Spain [14] Numerous types of the PCAT exist, differing in size and target population. For example, while the Primary Care Assessment Tool-Adult Edition's (PCAT-AE) initial form consists of 74 things examining grown-up patient experiences with primary care [12] a short 10-item variation, the PCAT10-AE has likewise been utilized and integrated into a nationwide population wellness study [14].A PCAT analyzing the primary care experiences of youngsters has been established also [15].Along with these surveys targeting patients, variations of the PCAT have been established that likewise survey service providers and administrators of facilities, offering another viewpoint on the provision of primary care [16].

IJSER

In addition to the PCAT collection of study instruments, scientists have used various other surveys to measure facets of health care provision from the patient and service provider point of view in the USA and in worldwide settings. These consist of the Health Tracking Physician Survey [17], the International Health Policy Survey [18], and the Ambulatory Care Experiences Survey [19].Other researches have used claims data [20] and medical record evaluation [20] to analyze the top quality, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness of primary care in various settings.

Medical professionals have defined standards of practice for assessment of providers or facilities in regards to whether they are practicing according to suggested guidelines [18].For example, a survey fielded in five nations figured out that the USA performed well in delivering preventive

care inning accordance with clinical guidelines [18], assuming that this result could be due to third party insurers' boosting emphasis on quality dimension using devices such as the National Committee for Quality Assurance's (NCQA) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS). In addition to HEDIS, other indications, such as the Diabetes Quality Improvement Project [20], have been established to support dimension of the quality of care provided in a primary care establishing for a particular problem. Several steps of efficiency and quality in the healthcare setting are disease-specific. Given primary care's emphasis on patient-centered and comprehensive care, these disease-specific steps could not be most valuable for the primary care context. Various other measurement efforts attempt to move beyond condition-specific signs. Hospitalization for ambulatory care delicate problems (ACSC), specified as "diagnoses for which timely and reliable outpatient care could aid to decrease the danger of hospitalization", has been proposed as a means to analyze accessibility to care and as an outcome action of the effectiveness of prior primary care treatment [21].Nevertheless, research study has shown that ACSC-related hospitalizations might take place also rarely and be also difficult to link with previous invoice of primary care to act as a feasible result measure [21].On the other hand, boosted accessibility to healthcare services is accomplished through expanded insurance protection, thus also enabling greater monetary accessibility to hospital sources. Therefore, researches using avoidable hospitalizations as end result steps to check out the influences of medical care accessibility must consider just how that boosted accessibility is being helped with. An additional study trying to identify excellent signs asked doctors about the sorts of patient results that they value as great signs of primary care suppliers' performance; participants determined nineteen signs related to patients' physical performance, physical pain, physical signs and symptoms besides discomfort, clinical indicators, emotional distress, health actions, and basic quality of life.

IJSER

- **Primary Care and Health**

Logically, primary care is viewed as an important medical specialty and medical care necessity since it is presumed to have a positive effect on wellness end results; the USA and most various other countries believe that enhancing the quality and quantity of primary care solutions will certainly cause far better populace health. A number of ecological researches have examined the relationship between health care facilities and health outcomes globally [22] in addition to in the USA at different degrees of geographic devices [23].Studies performed in developed nations, such as participant countries of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), do suggest that stronger health care systems are usually associated with much better populace health end results consisting of reduced death rates, rates of premature death and hospitalizations for ambulatory care delicate problems, and greater infant birth weight, life expectancy, and satisfaction with the healthcare system.Studies in the USA have also indicated that higher primary care availability in a community is associated with both far better health outcomes [24] and a reduction in utilization of more pricey types of health services, such as hospitalizations and emergency department (ED) visits [25].

IJSER

Experiences in the global context suggest that primary care-oriented healthcare delivery systems can generate far better health end results along with combating, somewhat, the adverse impact of poor economic problems on health. Reforms of healthcare systems to highlight primary care normally are associated with enhanced health results, consisting of evidence from numerous countries in Latin America and Asia [28].However, considered that these reforms typically consisted of several parts, connecting adjustment in populace health to any kind of one facet of the reform is difficult [28]. Raising health care availability in reduced- and middle-income

nations also correlates with improved health; however, many of these researches are restricted to youngster and infant health results [27].Additionally, much of the research in this setting contains observational researches rather than even more strenuous research layouts, and researches may additionally utilize different definitions of what makes up a "primary care system" or "program" [27].

In an evaluation of US primary care and its relationship with health and wellness results, Starfield et al. [26] note that there could be a number of systems of primary care that discuss this positive association with populace health and wellness: (1) better accessibility to health services; (2) enhanced quality of care; (3) focus on prevention; (4) the recognition and very early management of problems; (5) the combined effect of many features of solid primary care systems; (6) reduction in unnecessary specialist care [26].

IJSER

- **Primary Care and Quality**

Ease of access, the clinical quality of the care, interpersonal elements of care, continuity, and control all are essential elements to think about when assessing primary care quality [29].

Research exploring access has found that variables could impede or promote access, such as the schedule of after-hours care, the length of workplace delay time, traveling time to an appointment, lack of a particular PCP at the site of health care, and lower viewed flexibility in selecting a PCP [30].Level of access to primary care influences various other elements of high quality too. For instance, boosted access to primary care could additionally boost the connection of look after patients with clinical depression. An examination of a PCP accessibility program discovered that far better access caused reduced emergency department usage in the long term. Family-centered medical care could also decrease rates of nonurgent emergency department visits

and hospitalizations for certain populations.However, a relationship in between other measures of quality of primary care and immediate hospitalizations has not been established.

The structure of the primary care delivery system could additionally impact levels of access and quality. For instance, one New Zealand research comparing nonprofit and for-profit primary care practices located that the nonprofit practices in the research study used enhanced access at a lower cost along with providing a much more expansive range of services and instituting written plans associated with quality management [31]. In the USA, patients might experience varying degrees of quality of primary care relying on insurance type. In an analysis comparing quality of primary care across various handled care designs (i.e., managed indemnity, factor of service, staff-model HMO, and so on), managed indemnity versions done ideal on quality of primary care measures, followed by factor of service and network-model HMO frameworks [32].The inspirations within the delivery system can affect patient care too; in a research of the effect of pay-for-performance initiatives on the top quality of primary care received by patients with chronic problems, scientists found a favorable quality organization for patients with two of the three problems examined [33].

Conclusion:

In both developed and developing countries, primary care has been demonstrated to be associated with improved accessibility to healthcare solutions, better health outcomes, and a decrease in hospitalization and use of emergency department visits. Primary care can likewise aid counteract the negative effect of poor economic conditions on health. Primary care is imperative for building a strong healthcare system that ensures favorable health results, efficiency and effectiveness, and health equity. There are many aspects determining quality of care, such as ease of access (including availability of after-hours care, length of office wait time, travel time to an

IJSER

appointment, and flexibility in choosing a PCP), clinical quality, interpersonal elements, continuity, structure where primary care is provided, and insurance coverage. It is the first contact in a healthcare system for people and is characterized by longitudinality, comprehensiveness, and coordination. It provides specific and family-focused and community-oriented care for preventing, treating or alleviating common diseases and disabilities, and promoting health. Further research study is also needed to evaluate exactly what models of primary care can produce the best health outcomes.

IJSER

Reference:

1. Lawn JE, Rohde J, Rifkin S, Were M, Paul VK, Chopra M. Alma-Ata 30 years on: revolutionary, relevant, and time to revitalise. The Lancet. 2008;372(9642):917–927.
2. Hall JJ, Taylor R. Health for all beyond 2000: the demise of the Alma-Ata Declaration and primary health care in developing countries. Medical Journal of Australia. 2003;178(1):17–20.
3. Starfield B. Primary Care: Balancing Health Needs, Services and Technology. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press; 1998.
4. Forrest CB, Starfield B. Entry into primary care and continuity: the effects of access. American Journal of Public Health. 1998;88(9):1330–1336.
5. Rosenblatt RA, Lishner DM. Surplus or shortage? Unraveling the physician supply conundrum. Western Journal of Medicine. 1991;154(1):43–50.
6. Kohler PO. Specialists/primary care professionals: striking a balance. Inquiry. 1994;31(3):289–295.
7. Muldoon LK, Hogg WE, Levitt M. Primary care (PC) and primary health care (PHC): what is the difference? Canadian Journal of Public Health. 2006;97(5):409–411.
8. Starfield B. Is primary care essential? The Lancet. 1994;344(8930):1129–1133.

9. Campbell SM, Braspenning J, Hutchinson A, Marshall M. Research methods used in developing and applying quality indicators in primary care. Quality and Safety in Health Care. 2002;11(4):358–364.
10. Tobler L. A primary problem: more patients under federal health reform with fewer primary care doctors spell trouble. State Legislatures. 2010;36(10):20–24.
11. Shi L. Type of health insurance and the quality of primary care experience. American Journal of Public Health. 2000;90(12):1848–1855.
12. Shi L, Regan J, Politzer RM, Luo J. Community health centers and racial/ethnic disparities in healthy life. International Journal of Health Services. 2001;31(3):567–582.
13. Harzheim E, Starfield B, Rajmil L, Álvarez-Dardet C, Stein AT. Internal consistency and reliability of Primary Care Assessment Tool (PCATool-Brasil) for child health services. Cadernos de Saude Publica. 2006;22(8):1649–1659.
14. Rocha KB, Rodríguez-Sanz M, Pasarín MI, Berra S, Gotsens M, Borrell C. Assessment of primary care in health surveys: a population perspective. European Journal of Public Health. 2012;22(1):14–19.
15. Berra S, Rocha KB, Rodríguez-Sanz M, et al. Properties of a short questionnaire for assessing Primary Care experiences for children in a population survey. BMC Public Health. 2011;11, article no. 285.
16. Haggerty JL, Pineault R, Beaulieu MD, et al. Practice features associated with patient-reported accessibility, continuity, and coordination of primary health care. Annals of Family Medicine. 2008;6(2):116–123.
17. Deshpande SP, Demello J. A comparative analysis of factors that hinder primary care physicians' and specialist physicians' ability to provide high-quality care. Health Care Manager. 2011;30(2):172–178.
18. Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, et al. Primary care and health system performance: adults' experiences in five countries. Health Affairs. 2004:W4-487–W4-503.
19. Rodriguez HP, Rogers WH, Marshall RE, Safran DG. Multidisciplinary primary care teams: effects on the quality of clinician-patient interactions and organizational features of care. Medical Care. 2007;45(1):19–27.
20. Hollander P, Nicewander D, Couch C, et al. Quality of care of medicare patients with diabetes in a metropolitan fee-for-service primary care integrated delivery system. American Journal of Medical Quality. 2005;20(6):344–352.
21. Steiner JF, Braun PA, Melinkovich P, et al. Primary-care visits and hospitalizations for ambulatory-care-sensitive conditions in an inner-city health care system. Ambulatory Pediatrics. 2003;3(6):324–328.
22. Kruk ME, Porignon D, Rockers PC, van Lerberghe W. The contribution of primary care to health and health systems in low- and middle-income countries: a critical review of major primary care initiatives. Social Science and Medicine. 2010;70(6):904–911.
23. Macinko J, Starfield B, Shi L. Quantifying the health benefits of primary care physician supply in the United States. International Journal of Health Services. 2007;37(1):111–126.

IJSER

24. Chang CH, Stukel TA, Flood AB, Goodman DC. Primary care physician workforce and medicare beneficiaries' health outcomes. Journal of the American Medical Association.2011;305(20):2096–2105.
25. Kravet SJ, Shore AD, Miller R, Green GB, Kolodner K, Wright SM. Health care utilization and the proportion of primary care physicians. American Journal of Medicine. 2008;121(2):142–148.
26. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. Milbank Quarterly. 2005;83(3):457–502.
27. MacInko J, Starfield B, Erinosho T. The impact of primary healthcare on population health in low- and middle-income countries. Journal of Ambulatory Care Management. 2009;32(2):150–171.
28. Kruk ME, Porignon D, Rockers PC, van Lerberghe W. The contribution of primary care to health and health systems in low- and middle-income countries: a critical review of major primary care initiatives. Social Science and Medicine. 2010;70(6):904–911.
29. Bower P. Measuring patients' assessments of primary care quality: the use of self-report questionnaires. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research. 2003;3(5):551–560.
30. Forrest CB, Shi L, von Schrader S, Ng J. Managed care, primary care, and the patient-practitioner relationship. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2002;17(4):270–277.
31. Crampton P, Davis P, Lay-Yee R, Raymont A, Forrest CB, Starfield B. Does community-governed nonprofit primary care improve access to services? Cross-sectional survey of practice characteristics. International Journal of Health Services. 2005;35(3):465–478.
32. Safran DG, Rogers WH, Tarlov AR, et al. Organizational and financial characteristics of health plans: are they related to primary care performance? Archives of Internal Medicine.2000;160(1): 69–76.
33. Campbell S, Reeves D, Kontopantelis E, Middleton E, Sibbald B, Roland M. Quality of primary care in England with the introduction of pay for performance. New England Journal of Medicine.2007;357(2):181–190.

IJSER